


REMARKS

This paper is filed in response to the Office Action mailed July 14, 2008, requiring an election of the claimed invention between Species A (FIG 7B), Species B (FIG 7C), and Species C (FIG 7D). The Examiner did not recite which claims correspond with each species. Applicants hereby elect without traverse the species embodied within Species B including claims 1-7. Claim 8 is withdrawn.

In addition, Applicants deny any statement, position or averment of the Examiner that is not specifically addressed by the foregoing argument and response. Any rejections and/or points of argument not addressed would appear to be moot in view of the presented remarks. However, the Applicants reserve the right to submit further arguments in support of the above stated position, should that become necessary. No arguments are waived and none of the Examiner's statements are conceded.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Dicran Halajian, Reg. 39,703  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
August 13, 2008

**THORNE & HALAJIAN, LLP**  
Applied Technology Center  
111 West Main Street  
Bay Shore, NY 11706  
Tel: (631) 665-5139  
Fax: (631) 665-5101